

## SCCE Internal Investigations Workshop Orlando, FL



### Reaching a Conclusion

Meric Bloch



1

### Discussion of the Evidence

- Acknowledge the positions of each party
- Describe the evidence in support of each position
- Identify the relevant facts
- Include any admissions of improper conduct
- Discuss exculpatory evidence and mitigating circumstances
- As to disputed facts, assess credibility of the witness
- State the findings of fact that are needed to reach each conclusion
- State the conclusion reached as to each policy element

2

## Assessing Credibility

- Is the explanation you are given inherently plausible?
- Does the explanation follow the known timeline of events?
- Is there corroborating evidence to support the explanation?
- Does the person have actual knowledge of that information, or is it hearsay?
- Are there other objective factors that give it credibility?
- Avoid personal interpretations of the other person's credibility.

3

## Making a Determination

- Did you complete your investigation plan?
- Did you follow your investigation protocol?
- Did you look for proof on each policy element?
- Do you need to re-interview any witnesses?
- Are there any gaps, and how can they be closed?
- Are there any new issues to be investigated?
- Do you need a second opinion?

4

## Making a Determination

- Investigations are not based on what you believe happened.
- Your gut feelings are not a substitute for proof.
- The burden of proof is a “preponderance of evidence”
- The criminal justice burden of proof does not apply to workplace investigations

5

## Types of Conclusions

- **Substantiated:** An allegation is substantiated when an investigation identifies sufficient evidence to show that it is more likely than not that each element of the policy / business standard occurred.
- **Unsubstantiated:** An allegation is unsubstantiated when an investigation either (i) cannot meet the burden of proof to substantiate the allegation, or (ii) proves affirmatively that the alleged conduct did not occur.
- **Inconclusive:** An allegation is inconclusive if the investigation is unable to determine whether the allegation **can be** substantiated.

6

## Framing Your Investigation Finding

### Don't Say This

- Larry Green violated the company's conflict of interest policy when he became a consultant for a company vendor.

### Say This

The investigation determined that:

- Larry Green is a company employee;
- Larry engaged in business transactions on the company's behalf;
- The business transaction was with another entity;
- Larry had a personal interest in that entity; and
- Larry failed to obtain the approval of the CEO before engaging in the transaction.

7

## Closing Thoughts

- You must make a determination, unless you can't.
- Credibility assessments are needed for each piece of evidence.
- Make a determination as to each element of your business-conduct standard, not the policy as a whole.

8