



#### **Overview**

- Immigration compliance in the Trump Administration Era – an Update on I-9 Employment Eligibility Verification
- Corporate Immigration Audits, Compliance and Recordkeeping for H-1B, L-1 and PERM cases
- End of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) effect on I-9 records and workforce.

WR UNIfsdorf Rosenthal

#### President Trump and Immigration Enforcement

- · Increased enforcement and compliance
- Immigration arrests surged 40% this year
- ICE Chief says companies that hire illegal immigrants are the next target:
  - Increased targeting of companies that hire illegal immigrants » "We've got to get rid of these magnets for immigration"
  - Fourfold increase in workplace enforcement operations

     E.g. recent paperwork audit at Chicago bakery that supplied buns for McDonald's – resulted in firing of 800 workers LA Times, December 6, 2017

#### **ICE Worksite Enforcement Efforts**

- · Created a "comprehensive worksite strategy"
- Three-pronged approach:
  - Inspections / Fines / Debarments
  - ➤ Arrests of Employers & Employees (Criminal and Admin)
  - $\succ$  Outreach and Education through IMAGE
- Predicators of ICE actions:
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Previous criminal or administrative actions by ICE / DOL
  - ≻ Existence of complaints against employers
  - > Being part of a "high-risk" industry or sector of the

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

economy

#### ICE "Operations" Path Ahead

- · "Each worksite enforcement investigation is unique"
- Including the following factors:
  - > Knowingly hiring unauthorized workers
  - Evidence of trafficking, harboring, fraud, money laundering, mistreatment of employees
  - Threats of deportation, coercion, substandard wages, unsafe working conditions

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### Potential Mitigating Factors for Employers

- "Level of cooperation" with ICE & HSI Agents
- Employers who seek assistance through ICE, including participation in IMAGE
- Participation in DHS E-Verify program
- Existence of a robust internal immigration compliance program

#### I-9 Penalties

EMPLOYERS WHO VIOLATE THE LAW MAY BE SUBJECT TO:

- Civil fines
- Criminal penalties (when there is a pattern or practice of violations)
- Debarment from government contracts
- A court order requiring the payment of back pay to the individual discriminated against
- A court order requiring the employer to hire the individual discriminated against

WR UNIfsdorf Rosenthal

	Civ∎ Violations	First Offense		Second Offense		Third or Subsequent Offense		
		MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MNMJM	MAXIMUM	
	Knowingly hired or knowingly ontinued to employ an unauthorized alien in the United States	\$539 for each unauthorized alien	\$4,313 for each unauthorized alien	\$4,313 for each unauthorized alien	\$10,781 for each unauthorized alien	\$8,469 for each unauthorized alien	\$21,563 for each unauthorized alien	
	Failing to comply with Form I-9 employment verification requirements	\$216 for each form	\$2,156 for each form	\$216 for each form	\$2,158 for each form	\$216 for each form	\$2,156 for each form	
	Committing or participating in document fraud	\$445 for each document	\$3,583 for each document	\$3,563 for each docum ent	\$8,908 for each document	\$3,563 for each document	\$8,908 for each document	

Civil Mo		Offense	enalti	Second Of		• )	······
Civil Vio	ations First	Onense		second Of	iense	Offense	uosequent
	MNR	.um M.	XM UM	MINMUM	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM
Committie document abuse			,782 per violation	\$178 per violation	\$1,782 per Violation	\$178 per violation	\$1,782 per violation
Unlawful discriming against a employm authorize individual hiring, firi recruitme referral fo	n ent- d In ng, or ent or		1,563 per violation	\$3,563 per violation	\$8,908 per violation	\$5,345 per violation	\$17,816 per violation
Failing to DHS of a Nonconfir (FNC) of employee employm eligibility	Finaî viola rmation an ±(5) ent		502per violation	\$751 per violation	\$1,502 per violation	\$751 per violation	\$1,502 per violation



	<b>Criminal Penalties</b>					
	Criminal Violations	First Offense	Second Offen se	Third Offense		
	Engaging in a pattern or practice of hiring, recruiting or referring for a fee unauthorized aliens	Up to \$3,000 for each unauthorized alien				
		Up to 6 mont pattern or pr	hs in prison for actice	the entire		
<b>∥</b> F	Wolfsdorf Rosenthal					



### **Updates to Form I-9**

- I-9 revision with date (07/17/2017) on bottom left corner of all pages is now current.
- Employers must use the new form.

# WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

### **Updates to Form I-9**

· Four-page form

- Employee must now certify whether he/she used preparer and/or translator
- Addendum available if more than one translator was used
- · Section 2 has a space for additional information if necessary
- "Smart" form which provides basic field validation, helper text and hover text, error messages, and drop down menus available in addition to the version that is unfillable to decrease common mistakes
  - · Must still be printed, hand signed, and retained
  - Does not catch every type of error therefore it is not a safe harbor against ICE fines and penalties Wollsdorf Rosenthal

WR



- · Citizens, nationals and non-citizens. U.S. citizens include persons born in Puerto Rico, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands and the Northern Mariana Islands.
  - Nationals of the United States include persons born in American Samoa, including Swains Island.
- · Exempt groups
  - "grandfathered" employees hired before November 7, 1986
  - · Casual domestic workers
  - · Independent contractors
  - Providing labor where employed by a contractor providing contract services (e.g. employee leasing or temp agencies)
    Not physically working on U.S. soil

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

### **Common Issues in I-9 Compliance** (continued)

#### · Original versus photocopies of documents

- Must personally examine the original document
  - Even for remote hires webcam = not permissible - Can designate authorized representative to fill out I-9
  - (no need for specific agreements but you are still liable for violations)
    - Notary public can act as authorized representative (no need to provide notary seal on I-9)

#### Photocopies not acceptable

· Exception: certified copy of birth certificate

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

### **Common Issues in I-9 Compliance** (continued)

- · How does an employer know if a document is real?
  - reasonable test acceptable if it reasonably appears on its face to be genuine and relates to the individual presenting it
  - Signs of fraud e.g. if SS number has more than 9 digits or SS number that begins with 000, 800 or 900 (unused)

#### Should Employer Copy Supporting Documents?

- Past practice if you copy reveals mistakes in process
- Current practice keep copies
  - Critical to efficient review and correction of I-9s during internal audit
  - Useful defense in government audit or investigation against a claim that documents never presented
  - Evidence to show good faith copy shows that document on its face, reasonably appeared genuine

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### To Copy or Not to Copy?

- E-Verify Employers must photocopy if document used as part of the Photo Screening Tool
  - U.S. passport or passport card
  - Permanent resident card (I-551)
  - Employment Authorization Document (I-766)
- · Don't copy more documents than required
- Be consistent across the board (avoid discrim)

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### **Common Issues in I-9 Compliance**

#### • Document Abuse – <u>DO NOT</u>

- request documents before hire or during interview
- specify which documents to accept provide List and
- let employee choose which documents to present
- require more documents than required on the List
- refuse to honor acceptable documents
- ask to see document listed from Section 1 attestation

#### **Over Documentation = Discrimination**

The Immigration and Employee Rights Section (IER) enforces the anti-discrimination provision (§ 274B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). <u>Federal law prohibits:</u>

- 1) citizenship status discrimination in hiring, firing, or recruitment or referral for a fee,
- 2) national origin discrimination in hiring, firing, or recruitment or referral for a fee,
- 3)document abuse (unfair documentary practices during the employment eligibility verification, Form I-9, process), and
- 4) retaliation or intimidation.

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### **Over Documentation – What to do?**

- 1. Identify the number of over-documented I-9 forms
- 2. Evaluate those to determine if pattern of non-compliant practice
- 3. Remediate non-compliance I-9 forms
- 4. Create organization-wide non-discrimination policy
- 5. Provide refresher training for all hiring professionals on I-9 processes

[Letter from legacy OSC (now IER) – July 30, 2014 – employer should correct practice upon learning, employee who feels victim of discriminatory Form I-9 practices, has up to 180 days from date of practice to file a timely charge with OSC office]


#### Common Issues in I-9 Compliance (continued)

• What if employee is unable to provide the required documents within 3 business days?


- Can accept receipt in some circumstances


• What is "Receipt rule?"

- 3 situations qualify









#### **Reverification: Common Mistakes**

- Do not reverify:
  - -U.S. citizens

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

- Permanent Residents (green card holders)

## **Reverification: Common Mistakes**

#### • Do not reverify:

- Continuing Employees
  - Approved paid or unpaid leave of absence study, illness, disability, illness, pregnancy, maternity or paternity leave, vacation, union business or other temporary leave approved by employer
  - Promotions, demotions or pay raises
  - Temporary lay-off for lack of work
  - · Strikes or labor disputes
  - · Transfers to different office
  - Seasonal employment

WR UNIfsdorf Rosenthal

#### **Organization of I-9s**

- Never keep I-9s with personnel files
- Organize in 3 categories
  - I-9s for current employees
  - I-9s for employees with expiration dates that require reverification
  - I-9s for terminated employees that may require purging/destruction at the appropriate time

WR Ulfsdorf Rosenthal

#### **Retention Rules**

#### • After termination, must retain until

three years after the date of hire, OR
one year after the date of termination <u>WHICHEVER IS LATER</u>

Example #1 Hired: 3/1/2000 Left: 5/1/2001 3 yrs after hire = 3/1/2003 1 yr after term = 5/1/2002 Retain until: 3/1/2003 Example #2 Hired: 3/1/2000 Left:8/5/2003 3/1/2003 8/5/2004 Retain until: 8/5/2004

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

### Common Issues in I-9 Compliance (continued)

- Correcting mistakes and omissions on I-9s
  - Never too late to complete I-9s
  - How to correct mistakes and omissions
  - Never delete, white-out, black-out
  - Never backdate

#### **E-Verify Program**

- Program Summary
  - Automated link to federal databases DHS/SSA
  - Staff members using E-Verify must complete tutorial online
  - Electronic query submitted once I-9 is completed
    No prescreening
    - Only for new hires, not existing workforce
  - Automated response regarding employment eligibility
  - Follow-up required for "tentative non-confirmations"

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### Tips (for employee) to avoid Tentative Non Confirmations (TNCs)

- Make sure to use full legal name (if two last/first names list both; if hyphenate include the dash)
- If name changed make sure updated with SSA
- Spelling/DOB error on document get fixed
- Use Self Check to verify records accurate first?
- Include maiden name

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### AB 450 – Worker Protection Act Effective January 1, 2018

• Employers may not voluntarily consent to an immigration enforcement agent entering any non-public areas at a place of labor without a "judicial warrant"

#### AB 450 – Worker Protection Act Effective January 1, 2018

• Employer may not grant voluntary consent to an immigration enforcement agent to access, review, or obtain employee records without a "subpoena or judicial warrant"

Subpoena can be issued by a court OR administrative agency officials

 Exception - if "immigration enforcement agent" – issues a Notice of Inspection (NOI) of I-9s/other records needing to be maintained under federal immigration regulations

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### AB 450 – Worker Protection Act Effective January 1, 2018

- Employer must give notice to employees of any immigration review of employment records, e.g. I-9s, within 72 hours = exodus/increased disruption?
- Notice must:
  - » Be posted in language employer normally uses to communicate
  - employment related information to employee
  - » Include name of immigration agency conducting inspection
  - » Include the date employer received notice» Nature of the inspection (to the extent known)
  - Nature of the inspection (to the ex
     Include a copy of the NOI

WPR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### AB 450 – Worker Protection Act Effective January 1, 2018

- Upon reasonable request provide an "affected employee" and any collective bargaining representative a copy of the NOI
- Delivery by hand at workplace, if possible, or by mail/email
- "Affected employee" is one that:
  - » Is identified by the immigration agency inspection to be 'an employee who may lack work authorization, or an employee whose work authorization documents have been identified by the immigration agency inspection to have deficiencies.'

#### AB 450 – Worker Protection Act Effective January 1, 2018

- Except as otherwise required by federal law, cannot reverify the employment eligibility of a current employee at a time or in a manner not required by federal law

   Violations = civil penalties up to \$10,000
- No more good faith/voluntary internal audits??
- Constructive knowledge? (State v Federal)

W R Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### AB 450 – Worker Protection Act Effective January 1, 2018

- Failure to provide notices:
  - First violation \$2,000 \$5,000
  - Subsequent violations up to \$10,000
  - Recovered by the California Labor Commissioner
- Labor Commissioner or state's AG can initiate civil actions to enforce its provisions? CA state courts decide whether actions are required by federal immigration law??

WPR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### USCIS Fraud Detection and National Security Directorate (FDNS) Workplace Site-Visits

• April 3, 2017 – More targeted approach

- (i) USCIS cannot validate employer's basic information
- (ii) H-1B dependent employers (with high ratio of H-1B:U.S. workers; companies with under 25 employees, more than 7 H-1B; companies with 26-50, more than 12 H-1B; companies with 50+ employees, 15%+ H-1B employees
- (iii) Employers petitioning H-1B workers who work off-site
   (iv) Email address for the public to submit tips, alleged violations, etc.
- Site visits randomly on H-1B and L-1 petitions (after adjudication) and R-1 petitions (before adjudication) triggers?

#### USCIS Fraud Detection and National Security Directorate (FDNS) Workplace Site-Visits

- FDNS officers make unannounced visits to collect information as part of a compliance review to verify the truthfulness of petitions and continuing eligibility
- Process includes researching information in government systems, reviewing public records and evidence accompanying the petition, and interviewing the petitioner and beneficiary
- Participating in a site visit is voluntary, but failure to cooperate may have negative effects on the compliance review right to counsel?

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

### **FDNS Workplace Site-Visits**


- At any point, if the petitioner/beneficiary is unwilling to participate, inspector will terminate the visit, and complete the report using the data available
- The compliance review will usually include a follow-up with the petitioner and beneficiary by phone, fax or email – advise do not respond without consulting counsel!
- Inspectors record their observations on a Compliance Review Report, which are submitted to USCIS for appropriate action, including revocation and further investigations
- Site inspectors are not adjudicators and do not make decisions on applications

# WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

### **Strategies for Site-Visits**

Be prepared and have a strategy !!

- Designate in advance which employer representative will handle site visit (and backup)
- Inform other staff members they are not authorized and should refer any investigators to responsible person
- Employer representative should be familiar with the contents of petitions or have easy access to them (if number of petitions are voluminous)
- ➢ Ensure supervisors of H-1B/L-1 employees know they must update the primary contact person in the event of ANY changes to terms and conditions of employment. Be proactive!
- ➢ Go offsite − Starbucks?



Be prepared and have a strategy!!

- > Provide the beneficiary with a copy of the petition and have them review typical questions
- > Check officer's credentials and document them (FDNS "intake")
  - Business Card
     Date
  - Location
  - Agent's name
     Badge Number

  - > Agency
     > Contact Information telephone/Email
  - ➤ Reason for Visit
  - Beneficiary name

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

### **Strategies for Site-Visits**

Be prepared and have a strategy!!

- Always accompany the officer while onsite, if wants to take a tour or photograph or interview beneficiary (preferably primary contact)
- Take detailed notes of visit, specifically any questions answered and any
- documentation submitted > Outsourced petitions?

# WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

### Questions

Advise not to answer questions unless sure of the answers. Rather, inform the officer that they would need to check on the information first. DO NOT GUESS.

Standard list of questions:

- > About the petitioner: type of business, revenues, number of employees, locations
- About the petition: position title/duties, salary, work location, job requirements, hours per week, payment of petition's fees
- o If L-1, will ask about the management functions or specialized
- knowledge About the beneficiary: confirmation of continued employment, qualifications, hire date

WR Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

### **Changes During Employment**

- Minor changes, no new petition (salary increase, some promotions, etc.)
- Change in employee location (not already included in LCA, MSA?)
- Material changes, new petition required (hours, wages, job duties, non-productive employment, corporate restructuring)
- Termination

WR LLP Wolfsdorf Rosenthal

#### End of DACA? I-9 problems?

- September 5, 2017 rescinded as of March 5, 2018
  - No initial applications
  - Jan 13, 2018 renewals ok again!
- Valid EAD = still authorized to work legally (LIST A) may not request anything else
  - EAD code c33
  - Employee under no duty to affirmatively tell employer that work authorization will be expiring
- Once DACA EAD expires must re-verify and potentially terminate

